

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,878	03/19/2004	Hideyuki Hashi	2004_0279A	7157
513 WENDEROTE	7590 01/09/2007 H, LIND & PONACK, L.L.I	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			KLIMOWICZ, WILLIAM JOSEPH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2627		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	01/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/803,878	HASHI ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		William J. Klimowicz	2627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
VVHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timus will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>27-59</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>27-39,41-46 and 48-59</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>40 and 47</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	vn from consideration.		
10)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

Claims 1-26 have been cancelled by the Applicant.

Claims 27-59 are currently pending.

Claim Objections

Claims 27, 28, 30, 31, 52 and 53 are objected to because of the following informalities and appropriate correction is required.

With regard to claim 28 (line 2), the word --arrangement-- should be inserted after the word "fulcrum" in order to maintain claim language consistency.

With regard to claim 31 (line 2), the word --arrangement-- should be inserted after the word "fulcrum" in order to maintain claim language consistency.

With regard to claim 53 (line 2), the word --arrangement-- should be inserted after the word "fulcrum" in order to maintain claim language consistency.

The following phrase(s) lack clear antecedent basis within the claim(s), i.e., either the particularly recited passage fails to be properly introduced prior to its appearance at that point in the claim or the structure recited in the passage is not an inherent part of or component of the previously recited structure. The lack of antecedence as noted *infra*, is merely formal, since the claims can be understood in light of the instant specification and drawings; the antecedence informalities delineated below do not rise to the level of a rejection under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph:

Art Unit: 2627

- (i) Claim 27 (line 10), "said first end."
- (ii) Claim 30 (line 11), "said first end."
- (iii) Claim 52 (line 21), "said first end."

Claim 57 and claim 58 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

It is noted that both claim 57 and claim 58, recite "wherein said spring member has lower rigidity than said support arm." The recitation, however, has already been set forth in each of their respective independent claims, i.e., at lines 15-16 of claim 54 (from which claim 57 depends) and at lines 15-16 of claim 52 (from which claim 58 depends).

Thus claims 57 and 58 are duplicative and must be amended or cancelled.

Double Patenting

Claim 47 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 40. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Art Unit: 2627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 40 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following phrase(s) lack clear antecedent basis within the claim(s), i.e., either the particularly recited passage fails to be properly introduced prior to its appearance at that point in the claim or the structure recited in the passage is not an inherent part of or component of the previously recited structure:

- (i) Claim 40 (line 2), "said slit part of said spring member."
- (ii) Claim 47 (line 2), "said slit part of said spring member."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 40 and 47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 27-39, 41-46, and 48-59 are currently contain allowable subject matter, though prior to allowance some of the claims must be amended to obviate objections noted, *supra*.

Art Unit: 2627

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Klimowicz whose telephone number is (571) 272-7577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30AM-5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Thi Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,878

Art Unit: 2627

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William J. Klimowicz

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2627

WJK